



ADDENDUM TWO

Request for Proposal 21128

Ambler Access Project: Logistics

6/7/2021

EMAIL TO: All RFP recipients on record.

The Request for Proposal (RFP) is hereby clarified or changed as follows:

Remove RFP Part C and replace with the attached Part C.

These changes are meant to clarify proposed project staff in scoring criteria 4 and 9.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

END OF ADDENDUM

We appreciate your participation in this solicitation.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

Selwin Ray

Contracting Administrator

sray@aidea.org

(907)771-3035

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria with a weight of zero are not applicable and should be disregarded. If a weight is not indicated for any criterion, telephone the Contracting Officer identified at the top of page 1 of Part A - RFP.

SECTION I - TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

1. Objectives, Services and Commitments

1. Weight: 5

Response must demonstrate your comprehension of the objectives and services for the proposed contract. Do not merely duplicate the Statement of Work provided with this RFP. Responses should portray a knowledge of AIDEA's unique role in project consideration, financing, and execution. Define any assumptions that may be necessary to provide a thorough response to this RFP.

Include a discussion of your company's understanding of the services required and your company's relevant experience providing the types of services identified in the Statement of Work.

Your response must also: (1) describe your company's availability to commit to this work, to include both current and potential time commitments of your proposed Project Staff and how any conflicts would be managed; (2) discuss the projected workload of each firm (Offeror and Offeror's Proposed Subcontractors) for all clients and the impact of your company's performance capabilities with regards to completing the Authority's work; and (3) demonstrate adequate support personnel, facilities and other resources, as necessary, to provide the services required.

2. Methods

2. Weight: 5

Response must outline the methods for accomplishing the proposed contract and Statement of Work, including the Offeror's plans for subcontracting. Offerors should consider how each task may be carried out and what level of interaction may be required from/with the Authority. Offerors should also address how their team and/or proposed approach/methods will provide overall assistance in the management of projects at various stages within each phase of the project analysis and decision making process (see Figure 2 in Article B5, Statement of Work). If applicable, Offerors should suggest alternative methods for executing the Statement of Work that may produce improved results or efficiencies. Identify any distinct and substantive qualifications for undertaking the proposed contract, such as the availability of specialized equipment, software, unique approaches, unique capabilities/experiences or concepts relevant to the required services which the Offeror may use.

3. Management and Quality Control

3. Weight: 10

Response must describe the administrative and operational structures to be used for performing the proposed contract. For example, the Offeror should consider who will have overall responsibility for the contract; who will have direct responsibility for specific disciplines; and what will the lines of authority/communication be? A graphic depiction of the proposed team organizational chart is preferred in the response to this criterion. Accordingly, your response should also identify how communications will be maintained between your Project Staff, the Authority and (as applicable) any other government agencies or the public.

Offerors should provide a description of their proposed quality control procedures and any staff that may be assigned to specific quality control processes. This response should be specific to the anticipated activities that will be conducted under the proposed Statement of Work.

4. Proposed Project Staff**4. Weight: 20**

Response must name the individuals to perform the following functions related to the contract and Statement of Work, including providing a brief description of their qualifications for performing their role on the project. The offeror is also encouraged to provide the names and narratives of any other professional/technical personnel that are anticipated to be utilized in executing the proposed contract.

For each individual identified, describe the work to be performed and detail their specific qualifications and substantive experience directly related to the proposed contract. Include subcontractors directly involved in this contract. A response prepared specifically for this proposal is required. Marketing resumes often include non-relevant information which may detract from the evaluation of proposal. Lists of projects are not useful. Focus on each individual's specific duties and responsibilities and how their project experience is relevant to the proposed contract.

Submit an operational safety plan that will include the following: FAA Operating 135 Certificate and Limitations, Operational Safety Program and flight protocol, within the last five years. Submit all FAA violations, accidents or incidents.

For each person named, identify their employer, professional discipline or job classification, current certifications and what role they will have under this contract. List at least 2 professional references (contact persons and telephone numbers) for each person.

Response must name the individuals to perform the following **FUNCTIONS** plus any other professional/technical functions you deem essential to perform the services:

1. Project Management (single point-of-contact directly engaged in contract performance)
2. Contract Management (contract compliance)
3. Scheduling Lead
4. Logistics Lead

5. Workload and Resources**5. Weight: 5**

Response must: (1) discuss both current and potential time commitments of your proposed Project Staff to all clients; (2) discuss the projected workload of each firm (Offeror and Proposed Subcontractors) for all clients; and (3) demonstrate adequate support personnel, facilities and other resources to provide the services required such that construction may begin winter 2024 and be completed by fall 2028. Provide a list of current contracts with the Contracting Agency in which your proposed Project Staff are participating. Include all contracts statewide with the Authority.

Briefly address capabilities for providing additional services, including procurement support, under an accelerated schedule. Address capacity to reassign personnel, equipment and facilities whenever the proposed contract would not require such capabilities or was delayed.

6. Past Performance**6. Weight: 20**

Response must describe previous projects the project team has worked on that are related in size and scope to this project. Describe the dollar amount of the projects and a brief narrative of the successes of the projects. Address how the experience will help your team to perform under this contract. Provide references (contact name and phone number) for each project. Indicate which of the proposed firms and project staff was involved in each project. AIDEA reserves the right to investigate referenced projects, contact references and research other projects that the respondent has worked on.

Explain experience working in logistics in remote locations around the State of Alaska. This must include working with other companies to complete large scale projects like the Ambler Access Project.

7. Minority Business Enterprises**7. Weight: 15**

A minority Business Enterprise (MBE) program will be utilized to encourage minority Alaskan owned companies to submit proposals as both Prime and Sub-service providers. This program will follow the guidelines and requirements laid out for Prime and Sub-service providers in RFP Part D page 3 Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) as indicated in Ambler Access Project: Professional Services Procurement Procedure, Appendix D MBE Scoring Criteria.

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) utilization will be a scored criterion for this RFP. Credit will be given for both MBE Prime service providers as well as MBE Sub-service providers for work they perform as a MBE within the contract scope.

To be granted this preference, Offeror's must submit the MBE certification form for each proposed MBE and their response must identify a MBE firm(s) in their proposal that will participate in the proposed contract services. The certified MBE(s) may be the Offeror (Prime service provider) and/or proposed Sub-service provider(s). Failure to submit adequate information pertaining to the work that will be completed and the percentage of work proposed to be completed by the MBE(s) may result in "0" points. Offerors should propose a single percentage number for each proposed MBE. Specifying a range of percentages (for example 5-8%) is not acceptable. If a range of percentages is proposed, the lowest number will be utilized in the calculation to determine the criterion score.

Response will be scored: Rating x Number of Evaluators x Weight = Criterion Score. Rating will be as follows:

"5" – More than 35% MBE Participation;

"3" – Less than 35% bur more than 20% MBE Participation;

"1" - Less than 20% but more than 5 % MBE participation;

"0" – Less than 5% MBE participation.

8. Alaska Bidder (Offeror) Preference
 23 CFR 172.7(a)(1)(iii)(C), AC 150/5100-14E, and 2 AAC 12.260(e)

8. Weight: 10

To be granted this preference:

Offeror must claim the Alaska Bidder (Offeror) Preference on page one of Part D Proposal Form. In claiming the Alaska Bidder (Offeror) Preference on page one of Part D, the Offeror is certifying that they meet the following requirements per AS 36.30.015 (f), AS 36.30.170 (b):

- (A) Firm holds a current Alaska Business License;
- (B) Proposal is submitted under the name as appearing on the Firm's current Alaska Business License;
- (C) Firm has maintained a place of business within Alaska, staffed by the Firm or an employee of the Firm, for a period of six months immediately preceding the date of the offer;
- (D) Firm is incorporated or qualified to do business under the laws of the State of Alaska, is a sole proprietorship, and the proprietor is a resident of Alaska, is a limited liability company organized under AS 10.50 and all members are residents of Alaska, or is a partnership under AS 32.05, AS 32.06, or AS 32.11 and all partners are residents of Alaska; and
- (E) If the Firm is a Joint Venture, it is composed entirely of entities that qualify under (A) - (D).

Alaska Bidder (Offeror) Preference will be scored: Rating x Number of Evaluators x Weight = Criterion Score.

Rating will be as follows:

An Alaska Offeror's preference (i.e., a Rating of 5) will be assigned to the proposal of an Offeror who certifies (by claiming the preference on page one of Part D) that they are an Alaska Bidder (Offeror) as described above.

No Alaska Offeror's preference (i.e., a Rating of 0) will be assigned to the proposal of an Offeror who does not certify (by failure to claim the preference on page one of Part D) that it qualifies as an Alaska Bidder (Offeror) as described above.

No narrative response to this criterion is required within the Offeror's Proposal.

SECTION III - PRICE



If price is not an Evaluation Criterion, weight Criterion #9 shall be "0". If price is an Evaluation Criterion, the weight for Criterion #9 shall be at least "10", and all Offerors shall submit Price Proposals in the specified format(s).

See item #9, under Notices in Part A - RFP, regarding statutory and regulatory provisions about price competition and item #10.3, in Part B - Submittal Checklist, regarding procedure for submittal of Billing Rates and/or Price Proposals. Cost terminology is explained on page 2 of the Pre-Audit Statement (DOT&PF Form 25A257).

CAUTION: Submittal of Offeror's or Subcontractor's "standard" rate schedules or other pricing documents which are not in required format will be non-responsive if they do not allow direct comparison with other responsive proposals.

Rates and costs proposed by the Offeror selected for contract negotiations may be investigated for reasonableness and allocability in accordance with AS 36.30.400, .420 & .480, 2 AAC 12.550 and the contract cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31. Unsupported rates and costs may be disallowed or result in termination of negotiations, or contract award. All proposed rates and the negotiated contract rates will be public information.

9. Labor Billing Rates (Required Format)

9. Weight: 10

Provide a proposed total hourly Billing Rate (i.e. inclusive of Direct Cost of Direct Labor, all Indirect Costs, and Fee) only for each of the job **FUNCTIONS** listed below. Note: Some of these functions may be performed by one or more employees of the Offeror or Subcontractors; consequently, an individual might be billed under the contract at different rates appropriate to the functions performed. **Only the maximum rate paid to any individual for each listed job function** - regardless of employer (Offeror or Subcontractor) - **must be provided and will be considered for this response**. Rates for lower paid individuals or for other job functions, if any, will be addressed during contract negotiations.

- | | |
|------------------------|--|
| 1. Contract Management | (Estimated at 10% of total labor effort) |
| 2. Project Management | (Estimated at 10% of total labor effort) |
| 3. Scheduling Lead | (Estimated at 40% of total labor effort) |
| 4. Logistics Lead | (Estimated at 40% of total labor effort) |

*In accordance with the submittal Checklist ('rfp-b'), item 10.3, *Billing Rates must* be signed and dated by the person who prepares it (may be different signatures for each Subcontractor)

Response will be scored as follows: The maximum hourly rates proposed for the job functions listed above will be multiplied by the percentage of total labor effort (estimated above) and then summed to obtain an aggregate rate for each Offeror. If more than one rate is provided for any job function, only the highest rate will be used. Each Offeror's score will be calculated using the following equation - except that the **score will be zero if a rate for each listed function is not provided by an Offeror.**

$$\frac{(\text{Lowest aggregate rate from all Offerors}) \times (\text{MPP}^*)}{(\text{Offeror's aggregate rate})} = \text{Offeror's Criterion Score}$$

*MPP = Maximum Possible Points = (5) x (Number of Evaluators) x (Weight)

If no federal funding, then per AS 36.30.250(b), aggregate rates shall be reduced for the above calculation by the following applicable percentages when the rates are from Offerors that **designate preferences on page one of Part D.**

- ALASKA BIDDER (OFFEROR) PREFERENCE [2 AAC 12.260(d)]..... 5%
- ALASKA VETERAN-OWNED BUSINESS PREFERENCE [AS 36.30.175] (maximum \$5000)..... 5%
- and only ONE of the following:
- EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM PREFERENCE [AS 36.30.170(c)] 15%
- DISABLED SOLE PROPRIETOR OR 50% DISABLED EMPLOYEES [AS 36.30.170(e & f)]..... 10%

To claim employment or disabled preference, Offeror must be on the appropriate Alaska Division of Vocational Rehabilitation list at the time designated for opening (i.e., receipt